1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
2	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK
3	
4	SERENITY ALPHA, LLC, et al.,
5	Plaintiffs, CASE NO. 1:19-CV-501
6	vs.)
7	NORTHWAY MINING, LLC, et al.,
8	Defendants.
9	
10	TRANSCRIPT OF ELECTRONICALLY-RECORDED PROCEEDINGS BEFORE THE HON. CHRISTIAN F. HUMMEL
11	WEDNESDAY, MAY 12, 2021 ALBANY, NEW YORK
12	ALBANI, NEW TORK
13	FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: Williams LLP
14	By: T. Edward Williams, Esq. 7 World Trade Center, 250 Greenwich St., 46th Floor
15	New York, New York 10007
16	
17	FOR THE DEFENDANTS: E. Stewart Jones Hacker Murphy, LLP By: John F. Harwick, Esq. 28 Second Street Troy, New York 12180
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR, CSR Federal Official Court Reporter
25	445 Broadway, Room 509 Albany, New York 12207
	THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - NDNY

1 (Court commenced at 11:30 AM.) 2 THE CLERK: Today is Wednesday, May 12, 2021, 3 it is 11:30 AM. The case is Serenity Alpha, LLC, 4 et al., versus Northway Mining, LLC, et al., docket number 5 19-CV-501. Appearances for the record, please. 6 MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning, your Honor. 7 T. Edward Williams for the plaintiff, MinedMap. 8 THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Williams, sir. MR. WILLIAMS: Good morning. 9 10 MR. HARWICK: John Harwick, for E. Stewart Jones 11 Hacker Murphy, LLP, for Northway Mining, Michael Carter, 12 Michael Maranda, Hudson Data Center, Inc., Mike Maranda, 13 LLC. THE COURT: Good morning, Mr. Harwick. 14 15 MR. HARWICK: Good morning. THE COURT: I scheduled this conference because 16 17 Mr. Williams filed a letter, which is docket number 162, 18 which was filed on April 27th of 2021, which is entitled, "Request for Court Conference." 19 20 Docket number 165 is a response to that letter, which was filed by Mr. Harwick on behalf of his various 21 22 clients. 23 Mr. Williams, let me ask you a preliminary 24 question. 25 MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: How many miners are in dispute at this point in time?

MR. WILLIAMS: 3,600.

THE COURT: All right. And my understanding is that at one point in time, Mr. Harwick's clients had indicated that certain of those miners were available to be picked up in Oswego, New York?

MR. WILLIAMS: That's what we were told. My clients did come out from Nevada and inspect those — inspected those miners. The problem at the time was that although Mr. Harwick's clients have represented that those miners belong to my clients, the serial numbers on those miners, or at least the ones we saw, were all shaved off. And by the way, the ones that were presented to us were about 500 miners, it wasn't quite the number that we anticipated.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, Mr. Harwick indicated in his filing, which is docket number 165, that in addition to the serial number, there were additional ways in which miners can be identified. Did your clients look to see if any of those were, in fact, their miners?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. We tried that. So of the 500, there is a way to determine if those are your miners. For example, you would have to plug 'em in and then once they're plug in -- plugged in, rather, you open them up and

then there's a code that comes up. And that's the way to identify them. But these miners couldn't -- so, the ones that we had were all palliated, I think that's the right phrasing --

THE COURT: They were on pallets and they were sealed, right?

MR. WILLIAMS: Exactly. So we couldn't -- there's no way for us to identify them outside of the serial numbers that we had, that we were sort of matching to them.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Mr. Harwick, let me ask you a question: How many miners do you -- do your clients contend they received from plaintiffs?

MR. HARWICK: Well, that number's in dispute, it's around 2,000, so we dispute the number of miners. I note in the pleadings there's a couple different numbers alleged.

Paragraph 75 of the -- what we believe to be the operative complaint, which is docket number -- or document number 150, plaintiff said MinedMap and Serenity, two plaintiffs, shipped 2,689 miners to Northway, okay. So, clearly, one of those defendants -- or one of those plaintiffs is no longer a party to this action, so it's really unclear at this point as to how many MinedMap shipped and how many Serenity claims they shipped. But we dispute the number; we think it's more around 2,000.

And we did show, submit evidence, on the prior

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

motion for contempt that there is an internal number that can be identified by taking a closer look at these machines that can identify whether or not these were the subject of the transfer or not.

THE COURT: Let me ask you a question: How many miners do you contend were at your Oswego facility at the time that Mr. Williams' clients endeavored to inspect them?

MR. HARWICK: I don't know. I'd have to look back at our papers that we submitted on the motion for contempt.

THE COURT: Why don't you do that.

MR. HARWICK: You want me to do that?

THE COURT: Yeah, um-hum.

MR. HARWICK: All right. I'm gonna pull up the docket.

(Pause in proceedings.)

THE COURT: Mr. Williams --

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: -- do you know how many miners they contend were available for pick up at Oswego?

MR. WILLIAMS: My understanding is they never provided us a number. Instead, there was a group of miners that have been palliated, sitting there. My clients counted them, 500 of them, and we couldn't identify them as ours.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. HARWICK: I thought we did have a list of

serial numbers attached to our opposition to the prior contempt, your Honor. I don't think I have a number of -- a count, but I can look back, if I can access the docket here.

of why this matter can't be resolved or why no progress was made to having it resolved. I know you spent an extended period of time with Judge McCarthy in an attempt to settle this matter, but the matter was not resolved and I'm trying to get some sense of why that occurred.

Mr. Williams, it appears to me you spent a number of hours with Judge McCarthy. Did you make any progress towards trying to resolve this matter?

MR. WILLIAMS: Ya know, I think we made some progress. As I -- counsel and I have spoken about over the last few months, I think we need probably the mediator the Court recommended a long time ago that Mr. Harwick declined.

THE COURT: Mr. Carpinello?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yeah. I just think we need a stronger personality to drive the parties. As the Court knows, there's a lot of money at stake, about 12.5 million in damages, accounting for the bitcoins that have been mined from these miners. And so we're just tryin' to -- one of the things I've told Mr. Harwick candidly is, ya know, it would help me a great deal if, ya know, if your guy were to tell me, hey, look, I mined X, Y, Z from these miners and X,

Y, Z bitcoins and here it is, and then I can go back to my guys and say look, this is what was mined from it, ya know. That would help push a deal forward. I think this is a case that should resolve. We've been in it for two years now and we're still at the pleading motion stage.

THE COURT: Frankly, that's my concern. You've been at this for two years now, since sometime in 2019, and there appears to have been no progress made, and now we're in a position where you want to make a motion to hold them in civil or criminal contempt and you want to make a motion for expedited discovery, and Mr. Harwick, who wants to make the same motion for expedited discovery, while there's also a motion to dismiss pending, I believe, at docket number 157. So that's why, frankly, why I had you come in here this morning, if there's some way to see to move this matter towards resolution.

What's your sense, Mr. Harwick, of what happened with Judge McCarthy?

MR. HARWICK: Yeah, well, ya know, we did spend some time with Judge McCarthy, and ya know, I wasn't privied to his conversations with plaintiffs, but it's my understanding that part of the problem — and it's not Mr. Williams' fault, but part of the problem was with his clients' unrealistic expectation of their ability to prove and recover damages in this case. So, ya know, without

getting into the specific numbers, their demand was, we felt, extremely high, and there was no willingness to come into the realm of what we thought would be a reasonable resolution of this matter.

Bill McCarthy, ya know, tried to bring the parties together, but, ya know, I think maybe Judge Carpinello could possibly take a crack at it, and I don't know if he's on the list here for the Northern District, but --

THE COURT: He doesn't need to be on the list. If you gentlemen agree to him, you can use someone off the list.

MR. HARWICK: Yeah. He's -- I've dealt with him before on cases, and he's -- I don't know how to say this, but a little more forceful.

THE COURT: I've known Judge Carpinello for about 35 years and no one has ever accused him of being delicate.

MR. HARWICK: Yeah. And I hope this doesn't get back to Judge McCarthy.

THE COURT: I like Judge McCarthy, I like Judge Carpinello. I'm just trying to see what I can do to move this matter forward for you gentlemen.

MR. WILLIAMS: I do think that's what the parties need. I mean, I -- I think we've made some progress in the last few months in sort of understanding each other and understanding why the case needs to settle. I think when

2.4

it's all said and done, each party is probably gonna spend probably close to 500,000 in attorneys' fees before this thing ever gets to a place where we can find a good resolution.

THE COURT: And frankly, that's part of my concern. You can both sit down by the way. I like to see lawyers make money; I'm a lawyer, my sister's a lawyer, I have three brother-in-laws (sic) who are lawyers, but at some point -- this doesn't seem to be moving towards any sort of resolution.

So, let me go back and -- Mr. Harwick, let me ask you this question: How many miners do your clients currently have in their possession?

MR. HARWICK: I don't know.

THE COURT: Do you have any sense of how many?

Mr. Williams indicates when they went out to Oswego, they thought there were approximately 500 miners.

MR. HARWICK: I think there's more than that. I think there's probably about 2,000. But you also have to realize that my client's in the business of mining, brokering miners, buying miners, selling miners, and ya know, these are all kind of -- and that's nine miners and that's nine minor, and --

THE COURT: Right.

MR. HARWICK: It's -- they're like widgets, so

they're not unique pieces, and so I can't answer that question. But what I think -- part of the problem was with the last mediation, was that we were doing this all over Zoom.

THE COURT: Right.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARWICK: Mr. Williams was in New York, I was in Troy, Bill was in Troy with us, his clients were in Nevada, my client was on Long Island, and ya know, I think -- what I would ask the Court consider is ordering the parties to appear in person for, ya know, a further mediation, and we'd consent to Judge Carpinello.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, if I direct people to appear for an in-person mediation with Judge Carpinello, do you have any objection to that --

MR. WILLIAMS: I wouldn't object to that, just --

THE COURT: -- including --

MR. WILLIAMS: -- understanding that my guys are in Nevada --

THE COURT: Yeah.

MR. WILLIAMS: -- they'll fly out.

THE COURT: Yeah. All right. So then my question then becomes, Mr. Williams, do you want me to set a briefing schedule for your motion to -- motion for contempt and your motion for expedited discovery, or do you want to hold that request in abeyance to see if you make some progress with

1 Judge Carpinello? What is best for you?

2 MR. WILLIAMS: Well, here's my preference: I

3 think we can do it simultaneously, that way it gives parties

4 | incentives --

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

25

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. WILLIAMS: -- to move forward and I think both John and I want to see this case go forward. I mean, ya know, I have no interest in, ya know, chasing miners that, ya know, may or may not have mined a lot of bitcoins or may or may not have been profitable in the end.

THE COURT: All right. So do you want to file your -- you want to file your motions and pursue mediation with Judge Carpinello at the same time?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: When would you propose to file your motions by?

MR. WILLIAMS: I can get it in -- I have a large briefing -- two briefings on the 17th and the 21st.

THE COURT: Mr. Williams, you tell me a date that works for you and I'll agree to it.

MR. WILLIAMS: All right. (Pause.) How about Friday, the 28th?

THE COURT: So you'll file your motions by
May 28th?

MR. WILLIAMS: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: And Mr. Harwick, sir, when would you like to file your responses?

MR. HARWICK: Could we have until June 18th?

THE COURT: Sure.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. HARWICK: Yeah. I think Carpinello, your Honor, probably can fit us in, ya know, before then, I would think.

MR. WILLIAMS: I hope.

THE COURT: Yeah. And he will be. I mean, at one point in time, I thought you might reach out to him in the past and I happened to see him and I mentioned to him the possibility you might reach out to him about this, and he was interested in doing it, so I'm sure he'll make some effort to accommodate you. And if I happen -- I periodically see him walking my dog, so if I run into him walking the dog, I'll mention it to him.

If, at some point in time, you think the Court can help you settle this, I'm happy to do that for you. I mean, I don't have Judge Carpinello's experience in settling commercial matters, but I've settled lots of cases as a Judge, so if at some point you think I could help you --

MR. WILLIAMS: Ya know, that may carry some weight with my guys, 'cause there's a cultural difference. My guys are Korean Americans and they are very -- they believe in wrong is wrong and right is right, and if you steal

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

something from me, you ought to be punished ten-fold and those type of things. But if a Judge --

THE COURT: Why don't we do this, why don't you reach out to Judge Carpinello, if he can do it, fine, if he can't do it, call me and I'll do it for you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. John, what do you think? MR. HARWICK: Yeah, that's fine. I think Judge Carpinello would be a good fit for this case. No offense, your Honor.

THE COURT: None -- I suggested him to you, so you can't offend me. I suggested him to you back in the day and, ya know, I think, Mr. Harwick, your client had some reluctance, but this to me seems to be getting into Judge Carpinello's wheelhouse. I mean, this is what he did when he was a lawyer --

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

THE COURT: -- he did commercial litigation. Не didn't do miners because nobody did miners back in the day --

MR. WILLIAMS: Right, right.

THE COURT: -- but this is certainly his wheelhouse. But if Judge Carpinello cannot accommodate you for some reason, reach out to me and I'm happy to do a conference for you.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay.

MR. HARWICK: Yeah, I think that's fine. We can use that as a backdrop and, ya know, hopefully we can make some progress.

MR. WILLIAMS: What's Judge Carpinello's information, do you have it, John?

THE COURT: It's Anthony Carpinello, Jr.

7 MR. HARWICK: Anthony. I think he's with JAMS or 8 NAMS.

THE COURT: I believe he's with JAMS.

MR. WILLIAMS: Is he with JAMS in the city or --

THE COURT: He's with JAMS -- he lives up here,

but he does JAMS in the city and here.

1

2

3

4

5

6

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, okay.

THE COURT: He lives in East Greenbush and he has an apartment, I believe, in Williamsburg.

MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. All right.

MR. HARWICK: I think there's direct flights into

Albany from Nevada, too, I think --

MR. WILLIAMS: There is.

MR. HARWICK: -- so --

MR. WILLIAMS: Well, Syracuse. They would come up through Syracuse.

THE COURT: You can fly directly from -- you can fly directly from Nevada, from Las Vegas, to Albany.

MR. WILLIAMS: Oh, you can?

Case 1:19-cv-00501-DNH-CFH Document 177 Filed 07/01/21 Page 15 of 17 15 Serenity Alpha v. Northway Mining, et al. - 19-CV-501 1 MR. HARWICK: Yeah, southwest, I think. 2 THE COURT: Southwest used to fly to Las Vegas, I 3 believe they still do. 4 MR. WILLIAMS: I see. 5 MR. HARWICK: That might have changed, but --6 MR. WILLIAMS: I thought you had to come through 7 Syracuse and then --8 THE COURT: Yeah, that may have changed, 9 Mr. Williams, since my wife allowed me to go to Las Vegas, 10 but you used to be able to fly directly from Vegas. 11 MR. WILLIAMS: (Unintelligible.) 12 (Laughter.) 13 THE COURT: Mr. Williams, anything else I can do 14 for you this morning, sir? 15 MR. WILLIAMS: No, sir, that's all. Thank you. 16 THE COURT: Mr. Harwick, sir? 17 MR. HARWICK: No, Judge, thank you. 18 MR. WILLIAMS: And good to see you in person. 19 THE COURT: Nice to meet you. And if Judge 20 Carpinello doesn't help you out, give me a call and I'll try 21 to help you out, all right? 22 MR. WILLIAMS: Okay. Will do. 23 THE COURT: Thank you. 2.4 MR. WILLIAMS: Thank you, your Honor.

THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT - NDNY

MR. HARWICK: Will this be posted on ECF, is that

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

2.4

25

CERTIFICATION

I, THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR, CSR, Official Court Reporter in and for the United States District Court, Northern District of New York, do hereby certify that I listened to the electronically-recorded hearing set forth in the heading hereof; that I did make a stenographic record of the recording and caused the same to be transcribed; that the foregoing is a true and correct transcript of the same and whole thereof.

Dated this 1st day of July, 2021.

s/Theresa J. Casal

THERESA J. CASAL, RPR, CRR, CSR FEDERAL OFFICIAL COURT REPORTER